

Rigorous upper bound for the persistent current in systems with toroidal geometry

G. Vignale

Department of Physics, Missouri University, Columbia, MO 65211

(February 1, 2008)

Abstract

It is shown that the absolute value of the persistent current in a system with toroidal geometry is rigorously less than or equal to $e\hbar N/4\pi m r_0^2$, where N is the number of electrons, and $r_0^{-2} = \langle r_i^{-2} \rangle$ is the equilibrium average of the inverse of the square of the distance of an electron from an axis threading the torus. This result is valid in three and two dimensions for arbitrary interactions, impurity potentials, and magnetic fields.

73.50 Bk, 72.10.Bg, 72.15.Rn

The phenomenon of persistent currents occurs when an electronic system is placed in a magnetic field: at thermal equilibrium, an electric current flows without dissipation of energy. This effect is usually studied in systems which are topologically equivalent to a torus, for example a metal ring, or a hollow cylinder. The interesting quantity is the flow of current through a cross section of the torus.

The persistent current exhibits a variety of behaviors, depending on both the magnetic field and the geometric parameters of the system. A first example is that of a *thin* metal ring, i.e., a ring whose thickness is much smaller than its radius. Mesoscopic versions of this system have received particular attention in the past few years. The experiments [1] were done in the Aharonov-Bohm configuration, in which a weak magnetic flux threads the ring, without significantly affecting the electrons orbits. In this case, the main physical effect is the “twisting” of the boundary conditions on the electron wave function, leading to a persistent current which is a periodic function of the threading flux, with period $\Phi_0 = hc/e$ [2]. The typical magnitude of the current is ev/L , where v is a characteristic velocity of propagation of an electron, and L is the length of the ring. The current vanishes if the ring is made larger and larger ($L \rightarrow \infty$), so this effect is a purely mesoscopic one.

A different behavior is obtained in a two-dimensional ring, with inner and outer radii R_1 and R_2 ($R_1 < R_2$), in the presence a strong perpendicular magnetic field B such that the magnetic length $l = (\hbar c/eB)^{1/2} \ll R_2 - R_1$. This model has been studied by several authors [3,4]. The magnetic field induces currents flowing in opposite directions at the inner and the outer edges of the ring. If R_1 and R_2 are macroscopically different, the edge currents do not cancel each other exactly, and one is left with a net current that fluctuates violently as a function of of electron number when the Fermi level is in a gap between two Landau levels [4]. A typical value of the order of a fraction of $e\omega_c$ ($\omega_c = eB/mc$ is the cyclotron frequency) has been reported in a numerical study [4].

In the special limit $R_1 \rightarrow 0$, the ring becomes a “punctured disk”, and then it has been found [5] (neglecting disorder and interactions) that the net current is quantized in integral multiples of $e\omega_c/4\pi$ when the chemical potential is pinned to one of the Landau levels in the

bulk.

In view of the diversity exemplified above, it is remarkable what we show in this paper, that there exists a rigorous upper bound to the persistent current of a system of *arbitrary size and shape*, provided that it is topologically equivalent to a torus. We do not need to assume any symmetry, and we do not put any constraints on the nature of the magnetic field, not even that it be uniform. In essence, our derivation of the upper bound, is a sharpening of the argument presented by Bohm [6], following a suggestion by Bloch, to prove that a macroscopic one-dimensional ring cannot carry a finite circulating current, at thermal equilibrium. Assuming that the upper bound is a good estimate of the maximum value of the persistent current that can be reached in a given system with an appropriate magnetic field, this result enables us to easily understand the large difference in order of magnitude and geometric dependence of persistent currents in, for example, thin rings and punctured disks. Also, the rigorous upper bound can be useful as a test of the validity of approximate theories of persistent currents.

Let us consider a system of electrons confined within a body of toroidal topology, such as the one shown in Fig. 1. No symmetry is assumed. Let us choose an axis - the “ z ” axis - which threads the body, but is otherwise arbitrary. The question of the optimal choice of the z axis will be addressed later. Each half-plane emerging from the z axis at an angle ϕ ($0 < \phi < 2\pi$) cuts a two-dimensional cross section S_ϕ in the body (see Fig. 1) [7]. The persistent current is defined as the flux of the current density $\vec{j}(\vec{r})$ through S_ϕ . By virtue of the continuity equation $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{j}(\vec{r}) = 0$, this flux is independent of the choice of ϕ .

We introduce the standard cylindrical coordinates $\vec{r}_i = (r_i, z_i, \phi_i)$ to characterize the position of the i -th electron in the body. The corresponding momenta are $\vec{p}_i = -i\hbar(\partial/\partial r_i, \partial/\partial z_i, r_i^{-1}\partial/\partial \phi_i)$. The Hamiltonian of the system is

$$\hat{H} = \frac{1}{2m} \sum_i \left\{ \left(\vec{p}_i + \frac{e}{c} \vec{A}(\vec{r}_i) \right)^2 + V(\vec{r}_i) \right\} + \frac{e^2}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{|\vec{r}_i - \vec{r}_j|}, \quad (1)$$

where $V(\vec{r})$ and $\vec{A}(\vec{r})$ are *arbitrary* scalar and vector potentials. The exact eigenfunctions $\psi_n(r_1, z_1, \phi_1; \dots, r_N, z_N, \phi_N)$ of $\hat{H}$, with eigenvalue E_n , are completely antisymmetric, and

vanish very rapidly (exponentially) outside the boundaries of the body. The average persistent current, at thermodynamic equilibrium, at temperature $T = 1/k_B\beta$ is given by

$$I = \frac{1}{Z} \sum_n e^{-\beta E_n} \langle \psi_n | \int_{S(\phi)} \hat{j}_\phi(\vec{r}) dr dz | \psi_n \rangle, \quad (2)$$

where Z is the partition function, and

$$\hat{j}_\phi(\vec{r}) = -\frac{e}{2m} \sum_i \{ \hat{\Pi}_{i,\phi} \delta(\vec{r} - \vec{r}_i) + \delta(\vec{r} - \vec{r}_i) \hat{\Pi}_{i,\phi} \}, \quad (3)$$

is the azimuthal component of the current density operator. The azimuthal component of the kinetic momentum operator is defined as

$$\hat{\Pi}_{i,\phi} = -\frac{i\hbar}{r_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi_i} + \frac{e}{c} A_\phi(\vec{r}_i). \quad (4)$$

As we have already remarked, the integral, in Eq. (2) is independent of the angle ϕ characterizing the cross section $S(\phi)$ in the (r, z) plane. Using this fact, together with the definition of the current density operator, Eq. (3), it is easy to verify that

$$I = \langle \hat{I} \rangle, \quad (5)$$

where

$$\hat{I} = -\frac{e}{2\pi m} \sum_i \frac{\hat{\Pi}_{i,\phi}}{r_i}, \quad (6)$$

is the current operator, and $\langle \dots \rangle$ denotes the usual thermal equilibrium average, with Hamiltonian $\hat{H}$.

The upper bound to I is derived as follows. Consider a gauge transformation

$$\hat{U}_l = \exp \left[i l \sum_i \phi_i \right], \quad (7)$$

where l must be an integer in order to preserve the single-valuedness of the wave functions.

The Hamiltonian is transformed to

$$\hat{H}' = \hat{U}_l \hat{H} \hat{U}_l^{-1} = \hat{H} - \frac{\hbar l}{e} \hat{I} + \frac{\hbar^2 l^2}{2m} \sum_i \frac{1}{r_i^2}. \quad (8)$$

Let F and F' denote the free energies associated with the Hamiltonians $\hat{H}$ and $\hat{H}'$ respectively. They satisfy the well known inequality [8]

$$F' \leq F + \langle \hat{H}' - \hat{H} \rangle. \quad (9)$$

But, in this case, $F' = F$ because $\hat{H}$ and $\hat{H}'$ are related by a unitary transformation. Therefore, combining Eqs. (9) and (8), we obtain the inequality

$$\frac{\hbar^2 l^2}{2m} \langle \sum_i \frac{1}{r_i^2} \rangle - \frac{lh}{e} I \geq 0, \quad (10)$$

which must be satisfied for an arbitrary value of the integer l . Let us define

$$\frac{1}{r_0^2} \equiv \frac{1}{N} \langle \sum_i \frac{1}{r_i^2} \rangle, \quad (11)$$

and

$$I_m \equiv \frac{e\hbar N}{4\pi m r_0^2}. \quad (12)$$

Then Eq. (10) takes the form

$$l^2 - l \frac{I}{I_m} \geq 0. \quad (13)$$

It is now easy to verify that, for $|I| > I_m$, Eq. (13) is always violated either by $l = 1$ or by $l = -1$. On the other hand, for $|I| \leq I_m$, Eq. (13) is satisfied by all integral values of l . We conclude that

$$|I| \leq I_m, \quad (14)$$

the result of this paper.

The above argument is also straightforwardly applicable to a strictly two dimensional ring geometry. The arbitrary axis threading the toroidal body is replaced by an arbitrary point within the hole, and the cylindrical coordinates relative to that axis are replaced by planar polar coordinates relative to this point. The cross section becomes a segment (or a collection of segments) of straight line, and the z coordinate is suppressed. The final result

is still given by Eq. (14). Incidentally, the fact that the threading axis does not “touch” the toroidal body guarantees that $1/r_0^2$ is a finite number, because the wavefunction vanishes exponentially in the regions where $r_i \rightarrow 0$.

Equation (14) is still dependent on the arbitrary choice of the reference axis. We can completely remove this arbitrariness, by choosing, for any given system, the axis that yields the *most stringent* inequality, i.e. the smallest value of $1/r_0^2$. Thus, our original definition of r_0 in Eq. (11) is replaced by

$$\frac{1}{r_0^2} \equiv \min\left\{\frac{1}{N}\left\langle\sum_i \frac{1}{r_i^2}\right\rangle\right\}, \quad (15)$$

where the minimum is calculated with respect to the set of all possible axes threading the toroidal body. Qualitatively, this is the axis which, on the average, remains as far as possible from the body. Notice that $1/r_0^2 < 1/r_{min}^2$, where r_{min} is the minimum distance from the optimal axis to the body. Since this latter quantity is independent of the magnetic field, Eq. (14) implies that the current as a function of magnetic field cannot increase monotonically, but must either oscillate or tend to a constant (at fixed particle number).

It is worth noting that the upper bound on the persistent current can be expressed in terms of a moment the electronic density distribution:

$$I_m = \frac{e\hbar}{4\pi m} \int \frac{n(\vec{x})}{r^2} d\vec{x}, \quad (16)$$

where r is the distance of point $\vec{x}$ from the coordinate axis. Thus, the calculation of I_m in specific cases requires only a knowledge of the electronic density distribution - a relatively “gross” property of a many-electron system. Furthermore, from the form of eq. (16), it is clear that the value of I_m is not sensitive to fine details of the density distribution, especially in bodies of macroscopic to mesoscopic size. Essentially, I_m is a geometric parameter. In three dimensional macroscopic bodies, we expect that approximating the density as a constant within the geometric boundary of the body and zero outside, should lead to an excellent approximation for I_m . A better estimate of the density distribution can be obtained by solving the Thomas-Fermi or the Kohn-Sham equations.

Let us now consider a few concrete applications of Eq. (14). Suppose that the body is a circular ring of radius R and negligible thickness (“thin ring”). Then the optimal axis coincides with the axis of the ring, and $r_0 \simeq R$. The upper bound to the current is in this case

$$I_m = N \frac{eh}{2mL^2}, \quad (17)$$

where $L = 2\pi R$ is the circumference of the ring. This tends to 0 for $L \rightarrow \infty$, *so there is no current flowing in a macroscopic thin ring*. It must be noted that Eq. (17) is rigorous for a geometrically thin ring, i.e., it incorporates the effect of electron-electron and impurity scattering exactly. The ring may still be three-dimensional as far as the electronic wave function is concerned. No assumption on the form of the density distribution has been used. In the special case of a non-disordered one-channel ring, containing non-interacting electrons with a one-dimensional Fermi velocity v_F , the upper bound reduces to $2ev_F/L$ (the factor 2 arising from spin degeneracy). This value is attained exactly at $T = 0$, when the ring is threaded by a Aharonov-Bohm flux $\Phi = hc/2e$ (odd N) or $\Phi = 0^+$ (even N).

As a second example, consider a two dimensional disk (outer radius R_2) with a central hole of radius R_1 , in a strong magnetic field, such that $l \ll R_2 - R_1$. Once again, the optimal axis coincides with the axis of the disk. Since the electronic areal density $n_0 = N/A$ (A is the area of the ring between the inner and the outer edge) is essentially uniform in the bulk of the system, we can calculate $1/r_0^2$ by taking a uniform average of $1/r^2$, which yields the result $1/r_0^2 = 2\pi \ln(R_2/R_1)/A$. Substituting this in Eq. (12), we obtain

$$I_m = \frac{e\hbar}{2m} n_0 \ln\left(\frac{R_2}{R_1}\right). \quad (18)$$

The behavior of the upper bound depends only on the aspect ratio R_2/R_1 . If $R_1 \sim R_2$ to an accuracy much smaller than R_1 or R_2 , we simply recover the thin ring geometry, and the persistent current vanishes. In the limit $R_1 \rightarrow 0$ (or $R_2 \rightarrow \infty$), we obtain the “punctured disk” geometry. The upper bound diverges logarithmically, allowing a large persistent current. (The logarithmic divergence is of course cut off when R_1 becomes comparable to the

size of the edge region, in which the approximation of constant density is no longer valid.) In all other cases, the logarithmic factor is a constant of order 1, and the upper bound to the current can be written as $I_m \sim e\hbar/2\pi ma^2$, where a is the average distance between the electrons.

In the interesting case that the filling factor $\nu = 2\pi l^2 n_0$, rather than the areal density, is kept constant in the bulk of the ring, Eq. (18) takes the form

$$I_m = \frac{\nu e \omega_c}{4\pi} \ln\left(\frac{R_2}{R_1}\right). \quad (19)$$

In this case the upper bound is proportional to the intensity of the magnetic field, and the persistent current is allowed to grow indefinitely with the latter (N is now not constant). A persistent current of the order of $e\omega_c/4\pi$ (we assume that the geometric parameters are fixed and that $\ln(R_2/R_1)$ is of order unity) is consistent with the results of quantitative calculations of the persistent current in an ideal ring [4].

These examples show that the rigorous upper limit derived in this paper can provide a reasonably good estimate of the actual maximum value of the persistent current in a given toroidal system. Of course, since the sign of the current is left completely undetermined by our arguments, we cannot say anything about the result of *averaging*, for example, with respect to the impurity distribution in an ensemble of mesoscopic rings.

We emphasize that the upper bound derived in this paper applies to the current *in thermodynamic equilibrium*. Our argument is *not* applicable to the current carried by a superconducting ring, because, in that case, the current-carrying state is not the true equilibrium state, but, rather, a metastable state which cannot decay [9]. This work has been supported by NSF Grant No. DMR-9100988. I thank Michael Geller for useful discussions.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. P. Lévy et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 64, 2074 (1990); V. Chandrasekhar et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 67, 3578 (1991).
- [2] M. Büttiker, Y. Imry, and R. Landauer, Phys. Lett. 96A, 365 (1983).
- [3] B. I. Halperin, Phys. Rev. B 25, 2185 (1982).
- [4] Y. Avishai, Y. Hatsugai, and M. Kohmoto, Phys. Rev. B 47, 9501 (1993).
- [5] Y. Avishai and M. Kohmoto, Phys.Rev.Lett 71, 279 (1993).
- [6] D. Bohm, Phys. Rev. 75, 502 (1949).
- [7] The cross section S_ϕ is allowed to consist of several disconnected pieces.
- [8] R. P. Feynman, *Statistical Mechanics: A Set of Lectures*, (Benjamin, 1979), Chapter 2.11.
- [9] J. R. Schrieffer, *Theory of Superconductivity* (Benjamin, 1971), Chapter 8.7.

FIGURES

FIG. 1. Cylindrical coordinates for a toroidal body.